In onal Application No Full/GB2004/003406

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 B01J13/04 A23L1/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, CHEM ABS Data, MEDLINE, BIOSIS, FSTA

	Oliotion of document with indicate when any state of the		5.1
ategory	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the	ne relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
(US 5 204 029 A (MORGAN ROBERT 20 April 1993 (1993-04-20) cited in the application	·	1-3, 8-19,23, 28,29, 31-34, 36-38
	column 3, line 4 - column 7, l	ine /	
X	US 5 580 573 A (KYDONIEUS AGIS 3 December 1996 (1996-12-03)	S ET AL)	1-3, 8-10,12, 13,39,40
	the whole document		
X	CH 509 098 A (NCR CO) 30 June 1971 (1971-06-30) the whole document		1-36
XF	Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	Patent family members are listed	In annex.
o Cassisi	I categories of cited documents :		emetional filing date
ohecigi	s acting a fine of a fine a documento,	"T" later document published after the int	
"A" docu cor "E" earli	ument defining the general state of the art which is not nsidered to be of particular relevance ier document but published on or after the international	"T" later document published after the int or priority date and not in conflict with cited to understand the principle or the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the	n the application but neory underlying the
"A" docu cor "E" earli filin "L" docu whi	ument defining the general state of the art which is not neighbors and particular relevance ier document but published on or after the international neg date ument which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or lich is cited to establish the publication date of another ation or other special reason (as specified)	or priority date and not in conflict with cited to understand the principle or the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the cannot be considered novel or cannot involve an inventive step when the d "Y" document of particular relevance; the cannot be considered to involve an inventive and involve and invol	n the application but nearly underlying the claimed invention to the considered to ocument is taken alone claimed invention nventive step when the
"A" docucor "E" earlifillin "L" docuwhicite "O" docuoth "P" doci	ument defining the general state of the art which is not nsidered to be of particular relevance ier document but published on or after the international ng date ument which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or ich is cited to establish the publication date of another	or priority date and not in conflict with cited to understand the principle or the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the cannot be considered novel or cannot involve an inventive step when the d "Y" document of particular relevance; the	n the application but theory underlying the claimed invention of the considered to ocument is taken alone claimed invention invention over the such docupous to a person skilled
"A" docucor "E" earli fillin "L" docu wh cite "O" docu oth "P" docu	ument defining the general state of the art which is not insidered to be of particular relevance ier document but published on or after the international ing date graph of the graph of the publication date of another action or other special reason (as specified) is chief to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or international filling date but	or priority date and not in conflict with cited to understand the principle or the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the cannot be considered novel or cannot involve an inventive step when the description of particular relevance; the cannot be considered to involve an indecument is combined with one or mants, such combined with one or mants, such combination being obvious the art.	n the application but theory underlying the claimed invention of the considered to ocument is taken alone claimed invention inventive step when the ore other such docupous to a person skilled tramity
"A" doct cor "E" earli fillin "L" doct wh cits "O" doct oth "P" doct late	ument defining the general state of the art which is not insidered to be of particular relevance ier document but published on or after the international ing date ument which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or lich is cited to establish the publication date of another allon or other special reason (as specified) nument referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or inter means ument published prior to the international filling date but er than the priority date claimed	or priority date and not in conflict wit cited to understand the principle or the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the cannot be considered novel or cannot involve an inventive step when the description of particular relevance; the cannot be considered to involve an idocument is combined with one or ments, such combination being obvinin the art. "8" document member of the same paten	n the application but theory underlying the claimed invention of the considered to ocument is taken alone claimed invention inventive step when the ore other such docupous to a person skilled tramity
"A" doct cor "E" earli filin filin cite "O" doct oth "P" doct late	ument defining the general state of the art which is not insidered to be of particular relevance lier document but published on or after the International ing date ument which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or lich is cited to establish the publication date of another ation or other special reason (as specified) sument referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or ner means ument published prior to the International filing date but er than the priority date claimed	or priority date and not in conflict wit cited to understand the principle or the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the cannot be considered novel or cannot involve an inventive step when the description of particular relevance; the cannot be considered to involve an idocument is combined with one or ments, such combination being obvious the art. "&" document member of the same patent Date of mailing of the international se	n the application but theory underlying the claimed invention of the considered to ocument is taken alone claimed invention inventive step when the ore other such docupous to a person skilled tramity

Im onal Application No
PUI/GB2004/003406

HIGH) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE DELEVANT	
	Relevant to claim No.
one in the fact of	
WO 2004/041251 A (JIN YULAI; MOULTON SHAWN (CA); PERRIE TRUC-CHI (CA); OCEAN NUTRITION) 21 May 2004 (2004-05-21) page 2, line 30 - page 4, line 7 page 5, line 13 - page 8, line 17	1-40
US 5 780 056 A (AKAMATSU TAKU ET AL) 14 July 1998 (1998-07-14) the whole document	
WO 87/01587 A (BIOCOMPATIBLES LTD) 26 March 1987 (1987-03-26) page 5A, line 11 - page 8, line 16	
US 2002/061954 A1 (DAVIS DANNY ALLEN ET AL) 23 May 2002 (2002-05-23) paragraphs '0015! - '0028!	
US 6 375 968 B1 (QUONG DOUGLAS) 23 April 2002 (2002-04-23) the whole document	
	SHAWN (CA); PERRIE TRUC-CHI (CA); OCEAN NUTRITION) 21 May 2004 (2004-05-21) page 2, line 30 - page 4, line 7 page 5, line 13 - page 8, line 17 US 5 780 056 A (AKAMATSU TAKU ET AL) 14 July 1998 (1998-07-14) the whole document WO 87/01587 A (BIOCOMPATIBLES LTD) 26 March 1987 (1987-03-26) page 5A, line 11 - page 8, line 16 US 2002/061954 A1 (DAVIS DANNY ALLEN ET AL) 23 May 2002 (2002-05-23) paragraphs '0015! - '0028! US 6 375 968 B1 (QUONG DOUGLAS) 23 April 2002 (2002-04-23)

national application No. PCT/GB2004/003406

Box II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This international Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. X Claims Nos.: 5, 7, 8, 10, 16, 25-27 because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically: see FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely pald by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were pald, specifically claims Nos.:
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest. No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

Continuation of Box II.2

Claims Nos.: 5, 7, 8, 10, 16, 25-27

Present claims 5, 7, 10, 16, 26 relate to an extremely large number of possible compounds. Support within the meaning of Article 6 PCT and/or disclosure within the meaning of Article 5 PCT is to be found, however, for only a very small proportion of the compounds. In the present case, the claims so lack support, and the application so lacks disclosure, that a meaningful search over the whole of the claimed scope is impossible. Consequently, the search has been carried out for those parts of the claims which appear to be supported and disclosed, namely those parts relating to the compounds belonging to the group of silicone dioxide and titanium dioxide.

Present claims 8, 25, 27 relate to an extremely large number of possible compounds. In fact, the claims contain so many variables, possible permutations and provisos that a lack of clarity (and conciseness) within the meaning of Article 6 PCT arises to such an extent as to render a meaningful search of the claims impossible. Consequently, the search has been carried out for those parts of the application which do appear to be clear (and concise), namely those compounds recited in the examples.

Present claims 8, 25 and 27 relate to a product and its associated method defined (inter alia) by reference to the following parameters:

P1: storage temperature

P2: glass transition temperature

P3: sintering temperature

P4: gelling temperature

The use of these parameters in the present context is considered to lead to a lack of clarity within the meaning of Article 6 PCT. It is impossible to compare the parameters the applicant has chosen to employ with what is set out in the prior art because the skilled person would have to identify first the mentioned compounds, which can be millions, then to identify for each combination these parameters. The lack of clarity is such as to render a meaningful complete search impossible. Consequently, the search has been restricted to the parts relating to the embodiments mentioned in the examples.

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that claims relating to inventions in respect of which no international search report has been established need not be the subject of an international preliminary examination (Rule 66.1(e) PCT). The applicant is advised that the EPO policy when acting as an International Preliminary Examining Authority is normally not to carry out a preliminary examination on matter which has not been searched. This is the case irrespective of whether or not the claims are amended following receipt of the search report or during any Chapter II procedure. If the application proceeds into the regional phase before the EPO, the applicant is reminded that a search may be carried out during examination before the EPO (see EPO Guideline C-VI, 8.5), should the problems which led to the Article 17(2) declaration be overcome.

In anal Application No

							- ·• -
	tent document In search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)		Publication date
US	5204029	A	20-04-1993	NONE			
US	5580573	Α	03-12-1996	AT	184481		15-10-1999
				CA	2060113	A1	02-08-1992
				DE	69229972	D1	21-10-1999
				DE	69229972		27-04-2000
				ĒΡ	0497626		05-08-1992
				JP	5042226		23-02-1993
CH	509098	Α	30-06-1971	AT	291941	В	10-08-1971
				BE	731047		15-09-1969
				DE	1917930		06-11-1969
				DK	126975		10-09-1973
				FR	2006128		19-12-1969
				GB	1217445		31-12-1909
				IT		В	
							02-04-1973
				JP	50007031		20-03-1975
				NL	6905634		14-10-1969
				NO	127792		20-08-1973
				SE	342150		31-01-1972
				US 	3576759	A	27-04-1971
WO	2004041251	Α	21-05-2004	WO	2004041251		21-05-2004
				CA	2471821	A1	21-05-2004
US	5780056	Α	14-07-1998	JP	3555640		18-08-2004
				JP	9302379	A	25-11-1997
WO	8701587	Α	26-03-1987	AT	60507		15-02-1991
				DE	3677369		07-03-1991
				EP	0237542		23-09-1987
				WO	8701587	A1	26-03-1987
				JP	63501290		19-05-1988
US	2002061954	A1	23-05-2002	US	2004169299	A1	02-09-2004
				AU	9477201		08-04-2002
				WO	0226911		04-04-2002
US	6375968	B1	23-04-2002	AU	1078001	Α	08-05-2001
				CA	2386887		03-05-2001
				DE	60007650		12-02-2004
				DĒ	60007650		11-11-2004
				EP	1221841		17-07-2002
				ĴΡ	2003512395		02-04-2003
				WO	0130146	ΔΙ	03-05-2001